

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,653	06/26/2000	Yong Zou	8737-000007	8304
75	90 . 02/13/2003			
Harness Dickey & Pierce			EXAMINER	
PO Box 828			WALLS, DIONNE A	
Bloomfield Hill	ls, MI 48303		WALLS, DIOINE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	1/2
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	(6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			_ A S-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/529,653	ZOU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dionne A. Walls	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	tn tne correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 L</u>	December 2002 .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1999 O.	D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,6-9,11-14,17 and 18</u> is/are pending	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,6-9,14,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	_		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception	<u></u>	ho Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	-		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional app	olication).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	• •		
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _</li> </ol>	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	
O Petral and Today   Off		<u> </u>	<del></del>

Application/Control Number: 09/529,653

Art Unit: 1731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4, 6-8, 14 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 8266261 Derwent Abstract.

The Derwent Abstract of JP 8266261 discloses a cigarette having a main body and a filter attached integrally to an end of the main body, said filter comprising dried and crushed ginkgo leaves. By providing a cigarette filter formed of ginkgo leaves, a cigarette comprising a burnable material is obviously provided because the "filter" is a part of the cigarette of the Abstract of JP 8266261, and gingko leaves are obviously "burnable", since it is a plant material and since almost any material is "burnable" if subjected to high enough temperatures. Therefore, gingko leaves as used in the filter of the cigarette of the Abstract of JP 8266261 qualifies as "burnable material". Further, since "gingko leaves" qualifies as such a burnable material, the step of smoking a cigarette comprising leaves of gingko biloba, as recited in claims 14, 17 and 18, has also been satisfied.

Regarding claims 6-8 and 17 and 18, since the JP reference indicates that any of the listed herbs can be used as filtering agents in its disclosed filter, it follows that one

Application/Control Number: 09/529,653

Art Unit: 1731

having ordinary skill in the art could have elected for said filter to comprise from 50-100% gingko leaves.

Applicant has added language reciting "wherein the burnable material burns during smoking"; however, this function is deemed to be inherent. As stated in MPEP 2112.01, in product claims, when the structure cited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness exists. In this case, the JP reference meets the structural limitations of the claim in that it suggests a cigarette material comprising a burnable material, said material being gingko biloba leaves.

3. Claims 4, 9, and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CN 1140036 - Abstract.

The CN 1140036 - Abstract discloses a toxicity-reduced cigarette that contains liquid having components of ginkgo leaf sprayed onto the smokable material of the cigarette (see English abstract). While CN 1140036 - Abstract may not state that the gingko leaves are burnable material or burn during smoking, it follows that the gingko leaves burn while smoking since said leaves are sprayed onto the material that is smoked/burned while the cigarette is in use.

Regarding claim 9, while CN 1140036 – Abstract may not state that the smokable material of its invention may be suitable for use in a cigar, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the gingko-leaves-sprayed material for such purpose in order to reduce the damage of

Application/Control Number: 09/529,653

Art Unit: 1731

nicotine that also would arise from smoking a cigar which, as is well-known, also comprises tobacco which would contain nicotine when smoked by a user.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 11-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed December 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Regarding the JP 8266261 reference, Applicant argues that it discloses the use of "ginkgo nut" or "ginkgo nut powders", as opposed to "ginkgo leaf". However, as evidenced by the English Abstract, translated by Derwent (which is provided for Applicant's review), there seems to be no such indication of "ginkgo nut", since it states "leaves of persimmon, loofah, ginkgo,…". Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would have interpreted such language as disclosing a filter having any leaves of gingko".
- Regarding CN 1140036, Applicant argues that the gingko leaves are sprayed onto the tobacco leaves, while in the present Application, gingko leaves only are burned leading to a non-tobacco cigarette. However, the Examiner contends that the use of the CN 140036 reference is still proper since the word "comprising" in the preamble

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/529,653

Art Unit: 1731

allows for a reference to be applied which includes components in addition to ginkgo leaves.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne A. Walls whose telephone number is (703) 305-0933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Dionne A. Walls February 9, 2003